



# OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION 2021 SMALL GOVERNMENT SCORING EVALUATION FY 2023 (Round 36)

This document provides the District 9 Ohio Public Works Scoring criteria and guidance. The criteria are used to evaluate each project eligible for Small Government funding. Scores will be utilized by the District 9 Small Government Committee to develop a slate of projects for submission to the Ohio Public Works Commission.

For questions regarding scoring criteria please contact the Lorain,  
Medina, or Huron County Engineer's offices.

Revised 3/10/2021

## DISTRICT 9 SMALL GOVERNMENT PROJECT EVALUATION CRITERIA

All eligible projects will be scored in the following areas:

### 1. Ability and Effort of the Applicant to Finance the Project (Maximum 10 points)

“Auditor’s Certificate of Estimated Resources” showing fund detail, as provided in ORC sections 5705.35 and 5705.36 is used to determine potential financial resources available for the project. Score is based on the project’s total cost as a percentage of financial resources.

Points Received	Financial Resources Available
0	Total project cost represents 0 to 20% of subdivision's total combined funds legally eligible for infrastructure type
2	Total project cost represents 21 to 40% of subdivision's total combined funds legally eligible for infrastructure type
4	Total project cost represents 41 to 60% of subdivision's total combined funds legally eligible for infrastructure type
6	Total project cost represents 61 to 80% of subdivision's total combined funds legally eligible for infrastructure type
8	Total project cost represents 81 to 100% of subdivision's total combined funds legally eligible for infrastructure type
10	Total project cost exceeds 100% of subdivision's total combined funds legally eligible for infrastructure type, or subdivision is in fiscal emergency

### 2. Leveraging Ratio – Local and all non-OPWC funding sources as a percentage of total funding (Maximum 10 points)

Points Received	Repair / Replacement Projects	New / Expansion Projects
0	10% or Less	50% or Less
1	11% - 15%	51% - 55%
2	16% - 20%	56% - 60%
3	21% - 25%	61% - 65%
4	26% - 30%	66% - 70%
5	31% - 35%	71% - 75%
6	36% - 40%	76% - 80%
7	41% - 45%	81% - 85%
8	46% - 50%	86% - 90%
9	51% - 55%	91% - 95%
10	56% or More	96% or More

3. Population Benefit – Number of those to benefit directly from the improvement as a percentage of applicant’s total population. (Maximum 5 points)

Points Received	Percent of Population to Benefit
0	10% or Less
1	25% - 11%
2	35% - 26%
3	45% - 36%
4	55% - 46%
5	56% or More

4. County Priority Ranking (Maximum 10 Points)

Points Received	Ranking
6	5 <sup>th</sup> Ranked District Project
7	4 <sup>th</sup> Ranked District Project
8	3 <sup>rd</sup> Ranked District Project
9	2 <sup>nd</sup> Ranked District Project
10	1 <sup>st</sup> Ranked District Project

5. Useful Life of Project – Taken from engineer’s useful life statement. (Maximum 5 points)

Points Received	Useful Life
1	7 – 9 Years
2	10 – 14 Years
3	15 – 19 Years
4	20 – 24 Years
5	25 Years or More

Ranking Policy

The Small Government Committee, which meets once per year, shall determine the final order of all small government eligible projects. The resulting scores from the above scoring criteria will be used to give the Committee an initial ranking of all projects. The Small Government Committee will review the list and scores, determine final ranking for all projects, and recommend the final ranking to the District 9 Executive and Integrating Committees. The final ranking may not rely solely on scoring as shown above. The Small Government Committee reserves the right to rank projects in an order which they feel will be beneficial to the District.