

Additional Support Information

For OPWC Fiscal Year 2023/Round 36 (July 1, 2021, through June 30, 2023) applicants shall complete & submit the **Additional Support Information (ASI)** form to assist the Support Staff in its rating of the project. Information provided by the applicant must be accurate and based upon sound engineering principles. Applicants are strongly encouraged to utilize the District’s Applicant Manual and Project Selection Criteria (PSC) documents as a guide when drafting the ASI form.

Documentation

Six (6) of the fourteen (14) rating criterion **require** the submittal of specific documentation if applicable to the project. A notation is provided for each. These are:

- ☐ Criterion 5- User Fees
- ☐ Criterion 7- Local Matching Funds
- ☐ Criterion 8- Other Matching Funds
- ☐ Criterion 12- Ban
- ☐ Criterion 13- Users
- ☐ Criterion 14- Fees, Levies & Taxes

Failure to submit required documentation for applicable criteria will result in the project’s disqualification for FY23/R36!

For the awarding of a rating greater than the lowest possible rating, documentation detailing the issue(s) identified for each criterion, and how they are to be corrected **is required**.

All applicants are required to answer the following questions!

If applying for grant funding, is the applicant willing to accept a loan if asked by the District? Answering “Yes” will not increase the score; answering “No” will not decrease the score.

Yes

No

Will construction be underway by June 30, 2023?

ORC 164.06 B (9); 164.14 E (5)

Projects with schedules that lend themselves to a future program year will be required to be submitted at a later date.

Yes

No

Small Government Commission Eligible Communities Only!

Townships or villages eligible for Small Government Commission (SGC) funding (population of less than 5,000 residents) can elect to seek grant funding for a project primarily involving repair, reconstruction, or construction of facilities which are part of a system collecting fees from its users, **if they agree to accept a 20-point deduction in their final project score.**

- SGC eligible jurisdictions can elect to apply for loan funding to cover 100% of the cost of a project primarily involving repair, reconstruction or construction of facilities which are part of a system collecting fees from its users without a deduction in points.

If the applicant is a SGC funding eligible jurisdiction, do you elect to accept the 20-point deduction so to be eligible for grant funding for this project?

Yes

No

Note- The lowest possible rating will be awarded for each criterion in which a question is left unanswered!

The District has added Yes - No - N/A choices for each rating criterion to assist in ensuring that all questions have been answered.

- Checking one of these options satisfies this requirement.

It is highly recommended that more detailed information, preferably supported by documentation, be included for all applicable rating criterion, whether noted as required or not.

Examples noted in this document are not a complete offering of factors rating teams may consider but represent a small sampling of those which may be relevant to a given project.

Criterion 1 - Physical Condition

ORC 164.06 B (2); 164.14 E (2, 8 & 9)

Describe the physical condition of the infrastructure that is to be replaced or repaired? What is required to improve the infrastructure so that it will realize its stated useful life? Provide a statement detailing the deficient conditions of the infrastructure exclusive of capacity, serviceability, safety and/or health issues. If known, give the approximate age of the infrastructure to be replaced, repaired, or expanded. **It is strongly recommended that whenever possible, documentation should be provided to support your statements.** Documentation may include, but is not limited to; ODOT BR86 reports, pavement management condition reports such as those modeled on the ODOT Pavement Condition Rating System, televised underground system reports, age inventory reports, maintenance records, etc., and will only be considered if included in the original application. **It is likely the infrastructure will rate no better than Good condition if evidence or documentation is not provided.**

YES

NO

N/A

Criterion 2 - Safety

ORC 164.06 B (4); 164.14 E (1)

How important is the project to the safety of the public and the citizens of the District and/or service area? Provide a statement detailing the project's effect on the safety of the service area, noting how the design of the project is intended to reduce existing accident rates, promote safer conditions, and reduce the danger of risk, or injury for motorists, pedestrians, and other vulnerable users. Does the infrastructure create an obstruction and/or impediment that affects the safety of the public? Typical examples may include the effect of the completed project on accident rates, emergency response time, fire protection, and highway capacity. **Please be specific and provide documentation to substantiate the data.** The applicant must demonstrate the type of problems that exist, the frequency and severity of the problems, and the method of correction.

YES

NO

N/A

Criterion 3 - Health

ORC 164.06 B (4)

How important is the project to the health of the public and the citizens of the District and/or service area? Provide a statement detailing the project’s effect on the health of the service area, noting how the design of the project will improve the overall condition of the infrastructure so as to reduce or eliminate potential for disease, or correct concerns regarding the environmental health of the area. Does the infrastructure create and obstruction and/or impediment that affects the health of the public? Typical examples may include the effects of the completed project by improving or adding storm drainage or sanitary facilities, improving air quality, etc. **Please be specific and provide documentation to substantiate data.** The applicant must demonstrate the type of problems that exist, the frequency and severity of the problems, and the method of correction.

YES

NO

N/A

Criterion 4 - Priority

ORC 164.06 B (1); 164.14E (10)

Does the project meet the infrastructure repair & replacement needs of the applying jurisdiction? The applicant must submit a listing of the projects, in order of priority, for which it is applying. Points will be awarded on the basis of the project’s priority.

YES

NO

N/A

Priority 1

Priority 2

Priority 3

Priority 4

Priority 5

Criterion 5 - User Fee

ORC 164.06 B (3)

To what extent will a user fee funded agency be participating in the funding of the project? An example of such an agency is one that charges a fee for water or sewer service, or frontage assessments. Please identify the user fee funded agencies involved in the project and the amount (user fee infrastructure cost and percentage of total project cost) of that portion of the project.

Documentation Required!

YES

NO

N/A

Criterion 6 - Economic Growth

ORC 164.14 E (3)

Provide a statement detailing how the project will enhance economic growth.

YES

NO

N/A

Criterion 7 - Matching Funds (Local)

ORC 164.06 B (6)

Information is provided by the applicant in Section 1.2 (b) of the OPWC Application.

Documentation Required!

YES

NO

N/A

Criterion 8 - Matching Funds (Other)

ORC 164.06 B (7); 164.14 E (4)

Information is provided by the applicant in Section 1.2 (c) of the OPWC Application. Below please list all other funding sources.

Documentation Required!

YES

NO

N/A

Criterion 9 - Alleviate Capacity Problems

ORC 164.14 E (2)

Will the project alleviate serious capacity problems or future level of service needs of the District? Describe how the proposed project will alleviate serious capacity problems (be specific).

YES

NO

N/A

Level of Service (LOS) calculations shall be for the improvements being made in the application. If this project is a phase of a larger project then any preceding phases shall be considered existing conditions for the LOS calculations. Any future project phases shall not be considered as part of this application's LOS calculations. For roadway betterment projects, provide the existing and proposed (LOS) of the facility using the methodology outlined within AASHTO'S "Geometric Design of Highways and Streets" and the current edition of the Highway Capacity Manual.

No Build

Proposed Geometry

Current Year LOS

Current Year LOS

Design Year LOS

Design Year LOS

If the proposed design year LOS is not "C" or better, explain why LOS "C" cannot be achieved.

Criterion 10 - Regional Impact

ORC 164.14 E (7)

Provide a statement concerning the regional significance of the infrastructure to be replaced, repaired, or expanded.

YES

NO

N/A

Criterion 11 - Relative Economic Strength

ORC 164.06 B (8)

The District 2 Integrating Committee predetermines the jurisdiction’s economic health. The economic health of jurisdiction may periodically be adjusted when US Census data is updated.

Criterion 12 - Ban

ORC 164.06 B (10); 164.14 E (10)

Describe what formal action has been taken which resulted in a ban of the use of, or expansion of use, for the infrastructure involved? Typical examples include weight limits, truck restrictions, and moratoriums or limitations on issuance of building permits, etc. The ban must have been caused by structural or operational deficiencies to be considered valid.

Documentation Required! Submission of a copy of the approved legislation is required.

YES

NO

N/A

Will the ban be removed after the project is completed?

Yes

No

N/A

Criterion 13 - Existing Daily Users

ORC 164.06 B (10); 164.14 E (10)

What is the total number of existing daily users that will benefit as a result of the proposed project? For roads and bridges, multiply current Average Daily Traffic (ADT) by 1.20. For inclusion of public transit, submit documentation substantiating the count. Where the facility currently has any restrictions or is partially closed, use documented traffic counts prior to restriction. For storm sewers, sanitary sewers, water lines, and other related facilities, multiply the number of households in the service area by four.

Documentation Required! User information must be documented and certified by a professional engineer (signed) and include the name of the infrastructure and the total number of users.

	YES	NO	N/A
Traffic:	ADT	x 1.20=	
Water/Sewer:	Homes	x 4.00=	

Public Transit Daily Passengers

For information regarding SORTA/METRO ridership figures, applicants can contact SORTA Director for Transit Development & Innovation, Steve Anderson, at Sanderson@go-metro.com

Criterion 14 - Fees, Levies & Taxes

ORC 164.06 B (6); 164.14 E (6)

Has the jurisdiction enacted the optional \$5 license tag fee, and infrastructure levy, a user fee or dedicated or dedicated tax for the pertinent infrastructure? TIF & JED Districts will be considered. The applicant shall list all fees, levies, or taxes dedicated toward the type of infrastructure being applied for (check all that apply).

Documentation Required! A copy of a resolution establishing a fee, levy, or tax dedicated for the infrastructure applied for, or certification signed by the jurisdiction’s Chief Financial Officer as noted on page 5 of the OPWC Application for Funding.

	YES	NO	N/A
Optional \$5.00 License Tax			
Infrastructure Levy		Specify type	
Facility User Fee		Specify type	
Dedicated Tax		Specify type	
Other Fee, Levy or Tax		Specify type	