

## DISTRICT 19 LTIP PROJECT EVALUATION CRITERIA

The following project evaluation criteria were developed by the District Nineteen Public Works Integrating Committee to consider the factors listed under Section 164.14 of the Ohio Revised Code. The District rates each eligible project under each criteria and uses the total evaluation points as the basis for choosing projects.

Factor	System	Points	Criteria
1. Whether the project is of critical importance to the safety of residents of the local subdivision	All	10  7  5  3  0	If the project will have a major impact on the health and safety of users -- road and bridge projects that show a demonstrated impact on emergency services, such as bridge load limits If the project will have a moderate impact on the health and safety of users -- road projects to eliminate hazards such as sight distance If the project will have a minor impact on the health and safety of users -- road reconstruction and bridge projects -- road paving where previously unpaved, or where lane width or shoulders added -- basic road repaving where pavement remains same thickness and width(i.e.old pavement is planed and replaced)
ORC 164.14 E-1			
2. Whether the project would alleviate serious traffic problems or hazards or would respond to needs caused by rapid growth and development.	All	10  5	If the project will have a major impact in an area with serious congestion problems or rapid growth and development  If the project will have a minor impact in an area with congestion or rapid growth and development
ORC 164.14 E-2			
3. Whether the project would assist the local subdivision in attaining the transportation infrastructure needed to pursue significant and specific economic development opportunities.	All	5	If the project is part of a plan to improve infrastructure for economic development opportunities
ORC 164.14 E-3			
4. The availability of other sources of funding for the project:  6. The local subdivision's ability to pay for and history of investing in bridge and highway improvements:	All	10 7 3 1	If Local match (including federal and state dollars) Exceeds 50% If from 26-50% If from 15-25% If less than 15%
ORC 164.14 E-4, E-6			Criteria 4 and 6 combined for project evaluation.

## DISTRICT 19 LTIP PROJECT EVALUATION CRITERIA

[illegible]

## DISTRICT 19 STATE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT EVALUATION CRITERIA

The following project evaluation criteria were developed by the District Nineteen Public Works Integrating Committee to consider the factors listed under Section 164.06 of the Ohio Revised Code. The District has rates each eligible project under each criteria and uses the total evaluation points as the basis for choosing projects.

Factor	System	Points	Criteria
1. The Infrastructure repair and replacement needs of the district	Bridges & Roads	10	Federal Functional Classification of Facility
		8	Arterial
		5	Collector
		1	Local through street
	Water Plants	10	Local subdivision street
		10	Population served is over 1,000
	Water Storage Tanks Sewage Treatment Plants	5	Population served is under 1,000
ORC 164.06 B-1	Water Lines	10	Main line from plant or storage reservoir
		5	Other Mains
		3	Local service (more than 10 houses or 2 businesses)
		1	Local service (10 houses or 2 businesses or less)
	Storm Sewers	10	Maximum pipe size over 24"
		5	Maximum pipe size 12-24"
		1	Maximum pipe size less than 12"
	Solid Waste Facilities	10	Population served over 5,000
		5	Population served under 5,000
2. The age and condition of the system to be repaired or replaced	New Projects	0	
	Bridges	10	ODOT sufficiency rating below 50, a General Appraisal of less than 4, or 70 years since last major rehabilitation or construction
		7	ODOT sufficiency rating below 80 and rated SD or FO
		5	ODOT sufficiency rating below 80
		3	ODOT sufficiency rating between 80 and 90

## DISTRICT 19 STATE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT EVALUATION CRITERIA

Factor	System	Points	Criteria
(cont'd) 2. The age and condition of the system to be repaired or replaced	Roads	10	If the project involves widening deficient pavement
		7	If the project ADT is over 10,000 and increases lane capacity
		5	If the project ADT is over 5,000
		3	If the project ADT is over 1,000 (Pavement must be at least 10 years old to score here)
ORC 164.06 B-2	Solid Waste Facilities	10	Subjective
		10	If facility is undersized, is under EPA orders or is over 50 years old.
		8	If facility is 40-50 years old.
		6	If facility is 30-39 years old.
	Other Project Types	4	If facility is 20-29 years old.
		2	If facility is 10-19 years old.
3. Whether the project would generate revenue. Whether the project would seek a loan for all or a portion in the request for assistance.	All	10	100 percent of request is for a loan
		9	90 - 99.9% loan
		8	80 - 89.9% loan
		7	70 - 79.9% loan
ORC 164.06 B-3, B-6		:	:
		2	20 - 29.9% loan
		1	10 - 19.9% loan
4. The importance of the project to the health and safety of the citizens of the district	All	10	If the project will have a major impact on the health and safety of users <i>-- new mandated sanitary sewer system, new water system upgrades to make water usable, or road and bridge projects that show a demonstrated impact on emergency services, such as bridge load limits</i>
		7	If the project will have a moderate impact on the health and safety of users <i>-- mandated water or sewer treatment plant upgrades, road projects to eliminate hazards such as sight distance storm sewer projects which eliminate flooding where flooding has resulted in sewage discharges.</i>
		5	If the project will have a minor impact on the health and safety of users <i>-- water main replacement, road reconstruction, culverts and bridges, storm sewer, new water tower, non-water and sewer treatment upgrades.</i>
		3	<i>-- road paving where previously unpaved, or where lane width or shoulders added</i>
ORC 164.06 B-4		0	<i>-- basic road repaving where pavement remains same thickness and width (i.e.. old pavement is planed and replaced)</i>

# DISTRICT 19 STATE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

## PROJECT EVALUATION CRITERIA

Factor	System	Points	Criteria
5. The cost of the project and whether it is consistent with division (6) of Section 164.05 of the Revised Code and the district's allocation for grants, loans, and local debt support and credit enhancements for that year:  ORC 164.06 B-5	All	N/A	This factor is not specifically addressed in the evaluation criteria, but is used at the end of the process to evaluate the package of selected projects.
6. The effort and ability of the benefited local subdivisions to assist in financing the project:	Repair Replace Projects	10 7 3 1	If local match (including federal and other funds) exceeds 50% If 26-50% If 15-25% If Less Than 15%
7. The availability of federal and other funds for the project: Factors 6 and 7 combined  ORC 164.06 B-6, 164.06 B-7	New Expansion Projects	10 5	If local match (including federal and other funds) Exceeds 80% If 50-79%
8. The overall economic health of the particular local subdivision  ORC 164.06 B-8	All	10 5 3	If subdivision's total debt to annual revenue ratio exceeds 20% from 11-20% from 5-10%
9. The adequacy of planning for the project and the readiness of the applicant to proceed should the project be approved  ORC 164.06 B-9	All	10 7 3 0	Plans completed, signed and sealed by a P.E. (submit with application) Partial plans complete (submit with application) Preliminary engineering sufficient to identify scope of project, preliminary quantities, and cost estimate No work started
10. The effort on the part of the local subdivision to develop a plan for addressing the capital improvement needs of the community  ORC 164.06 B-6, B-10	All	10	If the local subdivision submits a completed Capital Improvement Report with their application
11. Any other factors related to the safety, orderly growth, or economic development of the district or local subdivision that the District Public Works Integrating Committee considers relevant.  ORC 164.06 B-10	All	10	Up to 10 points may be awarded for the listed factors at the discretion of the District Committee
Maximum Point Total		90	