

OPWC - District 7 – FY-23 (Round 36) Selection Process Summary

(Updated 01-20-2021, revised schedule, allocation amounts)

1. The Liaison will send out pre-application forms **by April 2, 2021**.
2. The pre-application forms must be submitted **by May 28, 2021** to a subdivision's respective County Engineer for projects to be considered for FY-23 funding by District 7.
3. A copy of all pre-applications shall be forwarded to the District Chair by **June 25, 2021**. As in the past, the subdivisions will be asked to submit a pre-application form (based upon the OPWC application form) which contains only the critical information about the project. Legislation will not be required. Information from the pre-applications submitted shall be placed upon the master spreadsheet for FY-23.
4. The pre-applications will be reviewed by a Pre-application Review Task Force consisting of the four (4) County Engineers of the district on **July 14, 2021**. The task force will investigate the information provided in the pre-application and assign priority points to the objective sections of the rating sheet to the projects using the approved project rating system. The Chair will add this rating information to the spreadsheet. Every applicant will be given information regarding the ranking of their project.
5. Individual County Integrating Subcommittees will meet **by August 18, 2021** to review the pre-applications. Each county subcommittee shall assign discretionary points for projects within their county.
6. The Executive Committee shall meet on **September 15, 2021** to review the prioritization of the projects. At this meeting, a tentative list of FY-23 projects will be generated based upon the projected funding levels and the criteria established by Ohio law and the Administrative Code. Applications will be presented by the County Engineers. The Committee will review loans, credit enhancement and repair/expansion with regards to the overall OPWC goals and requirements. Recommendations will be made on how to meet the required goals in each area. Ranking sheets will be reviewed and adjusted if required by the committee. A preliminary list of strong funding candidates will be established. The Executive Committee may ask individual project applicants to adjust aspects of the pre-application in order allow the district to meet the goals and fundamental rules of the Ohio Public Works Commission. Any political subdivision that honors a request for adjustment by the Executive Committee will not be penalized in overall project ranking.
7. The Small Government subcommittee will meet after the Executive Committee meeting to choose from eligible projects that remain after the preliminary list has been assembled for the district allocation. Their goal will

be to select projects that best meet the OPWC criteria for possible state-wide funding submission in the small government category from those remaining projects.

8. Notice will be sent to sponsors of projects selected by the Executive Committee and Small Government committees and the subdivisions with projects on that list will be asked to complete a final OPWC application form. All applications shall be completed to the requirements of the OPWC.
9. Completed application forms will be returned to Chair by **October 13, 2021** and reviewed for compliance with OPWC rules and regulations.
10. The final applications will be reviewed by the District Seven Executive Committee and Integrating Committee for approval on **November 17, 2021**. At this meeting, the methodology for FY-23 will be reviewed and approved, if applicable.
11. The District Chair will review the applications one final time to ensure the documentation is as complete as possible, and will forward all applications to the OPWC Program Representative **by January 28, 2022**
12. OPWC will complete their initial review, typically in April-May. All fully funded projects will receive pre-notification of funding. Projects on the shaded or reserve list may be notified that full or partial FY-23 funding is available. For SCIP projects only, in the event that less than 100% of the grant request is available and loan resources are remaining, the District Chair and Liaison will review the projects with the applicants and additional loan money may be offered in lieu of grant money.
13. OPWC will make project agreements available on or after **July 1, 2022**, subject to appropriation authority.
14. Applicants shall be responsible to proceed with implementation of their project within their submitted schedule. In the event that the project cannot be completed on schedule, they must communicate this information with the District and Columbus. Failure to meet the specified schedule may result in negative scoring penalty in future requests or loss of funding.

Ohio Public Works Commission (OPWC) District Seven Pre-application Instructions for FY-23 (Round 36)

I. Background

In order for OPWC District Seven to properly review proposed projects to meet the rules and regulations of the Ohio Revised Code (ORC) and Ohio Administrative Code (OAC) Section 164, the Integrating Committee has adopted the use of a pre-application form. The form is to be completed by a local subdivision applying for State Capital Improvement Program (SCIP) and Local Transportation Improvement Program (LTIP) or Revolving Loan Program (RLP) funding. For detailed information regarding OPWC funding, please refer to the current OPWC application form, available from the District Chair or the Ohio Public Works Commission.

II. Types of Funding

SCIP District Allocation

State bond funds are distributed based upon the most recent decennial census population statistics to each of the Commission's nineteen District Public Works Integrating Committees. The funds are to be used for public roads, bridges, culverts, water supply systems, wastewater treatment and collection systems, storm-water collection systems and solid waste disposal facilities. For **FY-23**, District Seven anticipates to receive **eight point five (8.5) million dollars** in SCIP funding. The District must award **ten percent (10%) of the SCIP funding in the form of loans**, loan assistance or credit enhancement projects.

LTIP Allocation

Less than one cent per gallon of the State gasoline tax is distributed to the districts specifically for roads and bridges. This year, District Seven should receive **two point nine (2.9) million dollars** of LTIP funding. This entire amount is to be used for grants for roads and bridges.

RLP Allocation

The Revolving Loan Program uses funds from loan repayments from past District Seven loan projects. The OPWC requires that Districts use the SCIP allocated loan funding first. RLP loans may be used as local match for a SCIP project. RLP loans may not be used to match LTIP projects and cannot be used along with a SCIP allocated loan project. RLP loans are not subject to the OPWC new and expansion requirements. RLP loan funding amounts available will vary from year-to-year for District Seven depending upon the balance of the repayment account at the time of release of funds. District Seven anticipates to receive **one point three (1.3) million dollars** in RLP loan funding.

Projects in District Seven are evaluated on a competitive basis using the locally developed methodology and rating system based on criteria specified in the ORC. Certain

percentages of this funding must be used in the form of loans, loan assistance and credit enhancements. The remainder of the funding is distributed in the form of grants.

Small Government Funding Allocation

Twenty Million Dollars (\$20,000,000) of the state bond funds are set aside on a statewide basis for villages and townships of under 5000 population. The funds may be used for the same project types as the district allocation of SCIP. Subdivisions involved in revenue generating projects are required to meet affordability standards established by the OPWC Small Government Committee.

Projects from qualifying subdivisions in District Seven which do not rate high enough to be funded in the district SCIP or LTIP allocations will be reevaluated on a competitive basis using the OPWC small government commission developed methodology and rating system by the District's small government subcommittee. Again certain percentages of this funding must be used in the form of loans, loan assistance and credit enhancements on a statewide basis. The remainder of the funding is distributed in the form of grants.

Emergency Funding Allocation

About **twelve** million dollars (\$12,000,000) of the state bond funds are set-aside on a statewide basis for immediate preservation of health, safety and welfare at the discretion of the Director of the OPWC. Subdivisions who feel they qualify for emergency funds should contact the local chair and the OPWC directly prior to completing an application.

III. OPWC Requirements

In order to participate in the OPWC's financial assistance programs, each applicant must prepare and submit a properly completed OPWC Application package. The Capital Improvements Report (CIR) is no longer required by the OPWC; however, districts have discretion as to whether to include them as part of their rating and ranking process which should be specified in their methodologies. A CIR is not needed to submit a district pre-application

As required by state law, all projects must also be reviewed as to its effect upon designated floodplains as part of your application. Your subdivision should review the flood plain implications of your project. This review usually would start by contacting your local planning commission.

IV. Pre-application Completion Guidelines

1. You must complete **all** information requested on the form. **In order to receive priority-rating points, supporting documentation must be submitted with your application.**
2. A registered professional engineer should prepare the project estimate and the financial information provided should be based upon the estimate.

OPWC FY-23 (ROUND 36) PREAPPLICATION PAGE 1

SUBDIVISION - Indicate the name of the local subdivision applying for funding.

COUNTY - Enter the county in which your community is located.

DATE - Enter today's date.

CONTACT - Enter the project contact person who will be available to answer questions about the proposed project.

PHONE # - Enter the daytime telephone number of your contact person.

PROJECT NAME - Please name the project in a descriptive manner, such as Main Street Resurfacing or First Avenue Bridge Replacement.

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Next complete the financial information about the project. The OPWC requires the financial information be completed based upon an estimate prepared by a registered professional engineer. **Please round all estimates to the nearest \$100.00.**

Break out any portions of the project cost which are definitely proposed to be completed by force account and show that dollar amount under the Force Account heading.

1.0 PROJECT ESTIMATED COSTS:

- a.) Project Engineering Costs
 1. Enter cost of Preliminary Engineering.
 2. Enter Cost of Final Design.
 3. Enter cost of Other Engineer's Services, (please breakdown cost of construction supervision and miscellaneous services such as special testing or permit fees). **NOTE: The District will question engineering costs which exceed fifteen percent (15%) of the total cost of the project.**

- b.) Acquisition Expenses.
 1. Enter cost of land to be purchased as part of the project.
 2. Enter cost of right-of-way to be acquired as part of the project.

- c.) Construction Costs-Enter estimated construction costs to be paid to contractors or to be completed by force account by your employees.

- d.) Equipment Purchased Directly-Enter the estimated cost of equipment or machinery to be purchased directly by your subdivision.

- e.) Other Direct Expenses-Enter other direct expenses to your subdivision such as interest costs for loan assistance, legal fees, or advertising.
- f.) Contingencies-Enter contingency amount indicated in your engineer's certified cost estimate (the amount should not exceed 10% of the estimated construction costs).
- g.) Total Estimated Costs-Enter the total of items (a.) through (f.). The amount should be to the nearest one hundred dollars.

Ineligible Costs-the following costs do not qualify for SCIP funding:

- (1) Expenditures or proposed expenditures for aesthetic treatments, ornamentation, or adornments to infrastructure;
- (2) Expenditures or proposed expenditures for landscaping activities and improvements that go beyond basic requirements or post-construction repairing, stabilizing, and reseeded of land surfaces(except for roundabouts for the purpose of serving as visual cue and for green stormwater management options);
- (3) The cost of planning or administrative services related to the review, listing, study, reporting, planning, recording, and prioritizing of capital improvement projects by a subdivision;
- (4) The cost of planning or administrative services of district committee, executive committee, or small government subcommittee in reviewing, recording, approving, or disapproving project applications.

1.1 PROJECT FINANCIAL RESOURCES

In this section, provide a breakdown of all project-funding sources including the total amount from each funding source and percentage in relation to the total project cost.

- a.) **Local In-Kind Contributions**-indicate the dollar value of force account labor, materials, equipment, or volunteer labor that will likely be contributed by the applicant toward the project. If 100% of the local share is an In-kind contribution, please indicate the source of construction retainage (if the project is over \$15,000). Remember that applicant is responsible for complying with all retainage requirements. The total amount in this column should match the total of the Force Account work shown in Section 1.
- b.) **Local Public Revenues**-indicate the dollar amount of actual local support, i.e. general revenues, local debt, user fees, etc.
- c.) **Local Private Revenues**-indicate any contributions from private sources, i.e. a corporation, bank, etc.
- d.) **Other Public Revenues**-indicate other state or federal funding sources and dollar amounts expected from these sources. ODOT-Ohio Department of Transportation,

FmHA- Farmers Home Administration, OEPA-Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, OWDA-Ohio Water Development Authority, CDBG-Community Development Block Grant, etc.

e.) **Ohio Public Works Commission**-financial assistance from the OPWC may be in the form of grants, loans, or credit enhancement. Applicants may request up to ninety percent (90%) of the total cost for repair and replacement of existing infrastructure, and up to fifty percent (50%) of the total cost of new and expanded infrastructure. You may request up to one hundred percent (100%) of the total project cost for projects funded by the LTIP program, loans, loan assistance or credit enhancement funding.

f.) **Total Financial Resources**-total items (a) through (e). This sum must equal the total estimated costs in section 1.0 (g). The sum of the percentages should equal 100%.

PREAPPLICATION PAGE 2

Section 1.2 Please enter information regarding the willingness of your subdivision to accept a loan, loan assistance or credit enhancement, in cases where the District falls short of the required ORC goals, it will be the responsibility of the Executive Committee to decide which subdivisions will be asked to make adjustments in order to allow the District to meet the required funding levels.

Section 1.3 Please enter flood plain information for your project. Contact your local Planning Commission regarding the location of flood plains in your area.

2.0 USEFUL LIFE/COST ESTIMATE

Enter the Project's Useful Life as determined by a registered professional engineer (minimum of 7 years for a project to be considered). Understand that the District Slate must meet an average minimum useful life of 20 years when considering project selection.

3.0 REPAIR/REPLACEMENT or NEW/EXPANSION

Project Repair/Replacement Cost-Enter the dollar amount and percentage of the total project costs that repairs or replaces existing infrastructure without substantially increasing designed service capacity.

State Funds Requested for Repair/Replacement Costs-Enter the dollar amount and percentage of state funds requested that will be used for repair or replacement portions of the project.

Project New/Expansion Cost-Enter the dollar amount and percentage of the total project costs that expand existing infrastructure (has a design service capacity substantially greater than that of the existing infrastructure), or will result in all new infrastructure.

State Funds Requested for New/Expansion Costs-Enter the dollar amount and percentage of state funds requested that will be used for new or expansion portions of the project.

4.0 BRIEF PROJECT DESCRIPTION

- a.) **Specific Location**-provide the exact location of the project using conventional descriptive indicators. (A location map may be submitted if beneficial).
- b.) **Project Components**-indicate the major components and sub-components of the infrastructure project being proposed. For example, a roadway improvement should indicate whether the project is basic repaving and to what thickness, or whether rebuilding the base is involved and to what degree. A sewerage project could indicate whether or not it involves collection lines, interceptors, lift stations, etc.
- c.) **Physical Dimensions/Characteristics**-through gross indicators, provide size details on the project components. For example, a water distribution improvement could include 1600 lineal feet of 8 inch water line. A roadway could be described as 2 lanes, 24 feet wide and 1.35 miles in length.
- d.) **Design Service Capacities**-indicate specific details on service level and area the current facility was designed to handle and is now addressing, and what the design service level of area of the proposed project will be. Provide information on whether the project has been designed in consideration of minimum performance standards required by other named authorities. This section should correlate to the required engineer's cost estimates that differentiate "replacement" costs from "expansion" costs.

PREAPPLICATION PAGE 3

5.0 PROJECT SCHEDULE

Indicate the estimated beginning and ending dates of your project. (5.1) engineering and design, (5.2) bid advertisement and award, and (5.3) construction. **NOTE: Your project schedule should be planned around receiving a project agreement on/about July 1, 2022. Engineering may begin up to one year prior to the project agreement date but project cannot be awarded until the Project Agreement is executed.**

6.0 APPLICANT INFORMATION

Section 6.1 Chief Executive Officer - Indicate the person who will have legal authority to sign a project agreement contract with the Director of the Ohio Public Works Commission. (This person must have statutory or legislative authority to enter into a contract with the Commission. Examples of a CEO are: the chairman/president of the board of county commissioners or township trustees, a mayor or manager of a city or village. The applicant should include their voice phone number.

Section 6.2 Project Manager - Indicate the person/agent who will have day to day administrative responsibility over the implementation of the project. For example, this person could be a county, or city engineer, and employee of the applicant, or a contracted consulting engineer. The applicant should include their voice phone number.

7.0 REASONS WHY THIS PROJECT DESERVES OPWC FUNDING

Finally, your subdivision should provide information to support the reasons the project is a strong candidate and deserves to be funded with OPWC funding. The applicant should take the time to address the criteria set forth on the district Project Priority Rating Criteria sheet. The applicant should provide an overview of its current financial situation and reasons why the project may not be fundable with only local funds. Another item, which should be discussed, is the priority of this project compared to other planned or ongoing projects in your community.

Please be advised the District Executive Committee will review and rate all projects based upon information provided in the pre-application forms.

If you have any questions regarding the completion of this document, contact your local county engineer or the District Seven Chair.

Project Priority Rating Criteria Sheet Instructions and Guidelines **(For Information Only)**

The evaluation criteria used as a basis for the priority sheet is based upon the factors established by Section 164.06 and Section 164.14 of the ORC. The law states these factors are to be specifically considered by the District Public Works Integrating Committee when establishing priority.

The sheet is for information only and should not be completed by the applicant. The actual completion will be handled by the District 7 Executive Committee, County Subcommittees and rating task force. The applicant must provide as much supporting documentation as possible to support a priority rating. An explanation of each item is provided along with the rating sheet.

**FY-23 Round 36
PUBLIC WORKS - DISTRICT 7 - PREAPPLICATION FORM**

SUBDIVISION: _____

COUNTY: _____ DATE: __/__/__ CONTACT: _____

PHONE # () _____ - _____ PROJECT NAME: _____

1.0 PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Force Account

1.1 PROJECT ESTIMATED COSTS: (Round to Nearest \$100)

a.) Project Engineering Costs:			
1. Preliminary Engineering	\$	00.00	_____
2. Final Design	\$	00.00	_____
3. Other Engineer Services	\$	00.00	_____
Supervision	\$	00.00	_____
Miscellaneous	\$	00.00	_____
b.) Acquisition Expenses:			
1. Land	\$	00.00	_____
2. Right-of-Way	\$	00.00	_____
c.) Construction Costs:	\$	00.00	_____
d.) Equipment Purchased Directly:	\$	00.00	_____
e.) Other Direct Expenses:	\$	00.00	_____
f.) Contingencies:	\$	00.00	_____
g.) TOTAL ESTIMATED COSTS:	\$	00.00	

1.2 PROJECT FINANCIAL RESOURCES: (Round to Nearest \$100)

%

a.) Local In-Kind Contributions	\$	00.00	_____
b.) Local Public Revenues	\$	00.00	_____
c.) Local Private Revenues	\$	00.00	_____
d.) Other Public Revenue			
1. ODOT PID# _____	\$	00.00	_____
2. EPA/OWDA	\$	00.00	_____
3. OTHER	\$	00.00	_____
SUB-TOTAL LOCAL RESOURCES:	\$	00.00	_____
e.) OPWC Funds			
1. Grant	\$	00.00	_____
2. Loan	\$	00.00	_____
3. Loan Assistance	\$	00.00	_____
SUB-TOTAL OPWC RESOURCES:	\$	00.00	_____
f.) TOTAL FINANCIAL RESOURCES:	\$	00.00	100%

1.3 LOAN, CREDIT ENHANCEMENT, MINORITY BUSINESS ENTERPRISE WILLINGNESS

Is your Subdivision willing to accept a loan? _____

Maximum Loan Amount Acceptable? \$ _____

Is your Subdivision willing to accept Credit Enhancement? _____

Set-aside Construction \$ _____

1.4 Flood Plain

Is your project in a flood plain? Yes _____ No _____ Unknown _____

2.0 USEFUL LIFE/COST ESTIMATE: Project Useful Life: _____ Years.

Useful Life cannot be a Range (Calculate a Weighted Life Based on Estimated Component Costs)

3.0 REPAIR/REPLACEMENT or NEW/EXPANSION:

TOTAL PORTION OF PROJECT REPAIR/REPLACEMENT \$ _____ %

State Funds Requested for Repair and Replacement \$ _____ %

TOTAL PORTION OF PROJECT NEW/EXPANSION \$ _____ %

State Funds Requested for New and Expansion \$ _____ %

4.0 BRIEF PROJECT DESCRIPTION - (Sections a through d):

a) SPECIFIC LOCATION:

b) PROJECT COMPONENTS:

c) PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS/CHARACTERISTICS:

d) DESIGN SERVICE CAPACITY:

5.0 PROJECT SCHEDULE: (OPWC Project Award Date - July 1, 2022)

		BEGIN DATE	END DATE
5.1	Engineering/Design:	___/___/___	___/___/___
5.2	Bid Advertisement:	___/___/___	___/___/___
5.3	Construction	___/___/___	___/___/___

6.0 APPLICANT INFORMATION:

6.1 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER: _____

PHONE: () _____ - _____ **FAX:** () _____ - _____

6.2 PROJECT MANAGER TITLE _____

PHONE: () _____ - _____ **FAX:** () _____ - _____

7.0 REASONS WHY THIS PROJECT DESERVES OPWC FUNDING:

(Provide information to support the Project Priority Rating Criteria Form)

DISTRICT 7 PUBLIC WORKS INTEGRATING COMMITTEE

Project Priority Ranking Sheet Instructions and Guidelines

The evaluation criteria used as a basis for the priority sheet are based upon the factors established by Sections 164.06 and 164.14 of the Ohio Revised Code. The law states these factors are to be specifically considered by the District Public Works Integrating Committee when establishing priority. For District 7, the Executive Committee is responsible to rank all project pre-applications submitted.

The applicant must provide supporting documentation to support a priority rating. The rating sheet is meant to be self-explanatory, but below is a guideline listing factors that may be considered by the District 7 Executive Committee. In situations where the information provided is limited, the Executive Committee most likely will have no other choice but to assume a lower priority rating.

(A) District Needs.

- (a) Applicant must indicate any other local subdivision(s) that will receive direct benefits from the proposed project and describe the benefit. Also, a letter from the Chief Executive Officer of that local subdivision should be attached verifying their participation or lack of participation.
- (b) For road projects, a certified statement must be attached which verifies the average daily traffic figures for the proposed road, as well as identifying the source of this information and the date or dates surveyed and confirmed. For utility projects, a certified statement must be attached which verifies the user benefit for the proposed facility, as well as identifying the source of this information and the date or dates surveyed and confirmed.

(B) Effort and Ability to Help Financing. The effort of the sponsoring subdivision to provide local funding will be reviewed. Under section (a), the local match percentage shall include only out-of-pocket local dollars or documented in-kind local match - which will be spent directly on the project. Under section (b), points will be assigned based on the total amount of OPWC grant and loan funding requested. Under section (c), OPWC loans or credit enhancement funding requests only will be considered toward assigning points.

(C) Generation of User Fees. The proposed project will be reviewed to see if revenue in the form of user fees or assessments will be generated. It is determined that, by their very nature, utility projects do indeed generate user fees for general revenue.

(D) Age and Condition. Provide the (a) Age and Year Built of the infrastructure being considered. The year of the Last Major Rehabilitation is defined as the year in which the infrastructure's useful life was extended by at least 30% of the Life in years shown on the top row of the age chart on the rating sheet. For (b) Condition, the applicant should supply further information regarding the remaining in order justify the rating. If a project consists of repairing or replacing more than one type of infrastructure, detail the age, condition and cost estimate of each component on an attached sheet.

(E) Readiness to Proceed. The applicant's readiness to proceed will be reviewed. If a project really fits better into a later year of funding, it may not rate as high as a project with plans on the shelf and all the right-of-way secured.

(F) Health and Safety. The applicant should elaborate upon the current and future health and safety aspects of the project. The district has set health and safety as one of the highest priority item to be reviewed. Applicant must attach documentation from a third-party regulatory agency to verify a suggested ranking of 12 or 15. Examples of a highly rated project would include an EPA mandated (immediate mandate) utility project improvement or a bridge with an ODOT condition rating of two (2) or less.

(G) Availability and Use of Other Funding. The applicant should list any other funding sources for which the project is eligible. Reasons why other funding sources have not been investigated for the project should be stated. For instance, road projects are eligible for \$5 license tax funding or federal funding and utility projects have user fees for operating/capital costs. These distinctions should be clearly documented.

(H) Overall Economic Health of the Local Subdivision. The Executive Committee will use the attached Income (PCI) chart for assignment of points. Pre-applications from County agencies will be assigned the County PCI points as listed and all others subdivisions will be assigned points based upon the subdivision in which the project is located.

(I) Other Factors.

(a) Infrastructure Repair or Expansion. In order to make the most use of the funding allocated to District 7; repair projects shall have priority over new projects.

(b) Maintenance Costs. The applicant should explain the expected maintenance savings as a result of the proposed project.

(c) Other Influencing Factors Presented. These factors may include the following: the sponsors offer to handle some of the district's loan obligation, the amount of funding requested with relation to the entire amount available to the district, and the past use of OPWC funding by a particular local subdivision.

(d) If an applicant submits multiple projects, the highest priority project among those submitted must be indicated. For those applicants with only one project submitted, three points shall be credited for the single project.

(J) County Subcommittee Ranking. County Subcommittee Discretionary Ranking: Each County Subcommittee will be allowed to rank the top 7 projects in the eyes of subcommittee members for each respective county. The maximum core that may be assigned to any project will be 7 points. The total aggregate number of points to be allocated will be 28 points.

NOTES:

(1) A location map must be included with all projects submitted, indicating the geographic location of project within the Subdivision.

(2) Applicants must provide written documentation or pictures to support and address all items shown on the rating sheet per these guidelines. Failure to provide the required documentation will result in additional points not being credited.

Project Priority Ranking Criteria FY-23 (Round 36)
Ohio Infrastructure Bond Program
District 7

A. District Needs (ORC 164.06(B)(1)), 164.14(E)(7))

_____ (a) Joint Projects:

- 2 - Project to benefit two or more local subdivisions
- 1 - Project to benefit one local subdivision only

_____ (b) Persons benefited:

- 3 - Road Project with over 3,000 avg. daily traffic or
Utility Project Benefits over 750 users
- 2 - Road Project with from 1,000-3,000 avg. daily traffic or
Utility Project Benefits 250-750 users
- 1 - Road Project with up to 1,000 avg. daily traffic or
Utility Project Benefits up to 250 users

B. Effort and Ability to Help Financing (ORC 164.06(B)(6)), 164.14(E)(6))

_____ (a) Local Match (Local dollars or in-kind offered)

- 10 – 50% or Greater
- 7 – 40% to 49% local match
- 4 – 30% to 39% local match
- 1 – 20% to 29% local match
- 0 – 0% to 19% local match

_____ (b) Amount of OPWC Funding Requested (Grant & Loan)

- 5 – Up to \$100,000
- 4 - \$100,001 - \$200,000
- 3 - \$200,001 - \$300,000
- 2 - \$300,001 - \$400,000
- 1 - \$400,001 - \$500,000
- 0 - \$500,001 or more

_____ (c) OPWC Loan or Credit Enhancement Offered

- 5 - \$150,000 or greater
- 3 - \$50,000 - \$149,999

_____ **C. Generation of User Fees (ORC 164.06(B)(3))**

- 5 – No user fees generated
- 3 – Fees for local match and/or maintenance
- 1 – Fees for general revenue

D. Age and Condition (ORC 164.06(B)(2))

_____ (a) Age - Year Built _____ Year of Last Major Rehabilitation _____

Life	20	50	30	50	50	50	50
Type Of Project	Road	Bridge	Wastewater Treatment	Sanitary Sewer	Solid Waste	Storm Water	Water Supply
Points							
5	Before 2001	Before 1981	Before 1991	Before 1981	Before 1981	Before 1981	Before 1981
4	2001 - 2005	1981 - 1990	1991 - 1998	1981 - 1990	1981 - 1990	1981 - 1990	1981 - 1990
3	2006 - 2010	1991 - 2000	1999 - 2006	1991 - 2000	1991 - 2000	1991 - 2000	1991 - 2000
2	2011 - 2015	2001 - 2010	2007 - 2013	2001 - 2010	2001 - 2010	2001 - 2010	2001 - 2010
1	2016 - 2021	2011 - 2021	2014 - 2021	2011 - 2021	2011 - 2021	2011 - 2021	2011 - 2021

_____ (b) Condition

- 5 - Critical: Infrastructure is not functioning as originally intended or is not functioning at all and will require significant upgrade to meet current design standards.
- 3 - Poor: Infrastructure contains a major deficiency and will require repair to continue functioning as originally intended and/or upgrade to meet current design standards.
- 1 - Fair: Infrastructure still functioning as originally intended, but requires repairs to continue functioning as originally intended and/or to meet current design standards.

_____ **E. Readiness to Proceed (ORC 164.06(B)(9)), 164.14(E)(5))**

- 8 - Plans completed and R/W secured today
- 6 - Plans completed and R/W secured by OPWC Project Award
- 4 - Plans and R/W completed within 3 months of OPWC Award
- 2 - Plans and R/W completed within 6 months of OPWC Award

_____ **F. Health and Safety(ORC 164.06 (B)(4)), 164.14(E)(1) and (2))**

- 15 – Present health and/or safety threatened
- 12 – Project to provide immediate health or safety benefits
- 9 – Project will reduce the risk of health/safety problems
- 6 – Project will delay health and/or safety problems
- 0 – No health or safety effect

_____ **G. Availability and Use of Other Funding (ORC 164.06(B)(7)), 164.14(E)(4))**

- 5 – Eligible, but funds unavailable from the other source
- 3 – Available and committed
- 1 – Available and applied for
- 0 – Available, but no plan to apply

_____ **H. Overall Economic Health of the Local Subdivision (ORC 164.06(B)(8))**

- 10 – Per-Capita Income \$0 to \$15,930
- 8 – Per-Capita Income \$15,931 to \$20,090
- 6 – Per-Capita Income \$20,091 to \$24,250
- 4 – Per-Capita Income \$24,251 to \$28,410
- 2 – Per-Capita Income Greater than \$28,410

I. Other Factors

_____ (a) Infrastructure Repair or Expansion (ORC 164.06(B)(1))

- 5 – Repair or replacement
- 3 – Combination of repair/replacement and new/expansion
- 1 – New or expansion

_____ (b) Other Influencing Factors Presented (Explain): (ORC 164.14(E)(3))

- 7 – Very Influencing
- 5 – Influencing
- 3 – Moderately Influencing
- 1 – Not Influencing

_____ (c) Relative Community Ranking for Multiple Projects

- 3 – Highest Priority Project
- 0 – All Other Projects

J. County Subcommittee Ranking 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7