

Revised: December 17, 2019

Supplemental Application Instructions

Prerequisites for Project Consideration

Manner of submittal items:

- 1) Must be one-sided, 8.5" x 11".
- 2) No dividers or cover sheets (a summary sheet may be submitted with "other documentation").
- 3) No Binding. A binder clip, folder, punch-less binder (has a clamp that holds papers together) are OK. No staples.

Format of application:

- 1) All must be in whole dollars (no cents).
- 2) Cannot use all caps.
Page 4 of application must contain relevant information about project and not "see attached". If it will not fit in space provided, list what will fit and attach one supplement document to complete the information.
- 3) Page 3 must designate households or ADT ONLY for the direct area of the infrastructure. (Cannot count downstream or system users). Majority infrastructure type determines how project is scored when there are multiple components.

Order and completeness of items:

- 1) ___ OPWC six page application
- 2) ___ Authorizing Legislation authorizing CEO to enter into agreements with OPWC.
- 3) ___ Certification of funds/Loan Repayment following sample provided.
- 4) ___ A registered professional engineer's detailed cost estimate and useful life statement with seal or stamp and signature
- 5) ___ Co-operative Agreement (if applicable)
- 6) ___ Findings and Orders, Traffic Count, Job Creation or Retention and any other items to support scoring.
- 7) Other items
 - a. Maps
 - b. Pictures
 - c. Summary Sheet
 - d. Letters supporting project
 - e. Any other items deemed relevant to the project.

Project Cost Overruns/Changes in Scope Procedure

- 1) The applicant will prepare an amended application including a revised budget, revised engineering estimate, and a detailed explanation of the change(s) requested.
- 2) The amendment is due to the District 5 Liaison thirty days in advance of the date of the scheduled District 5 Executive Committee Meeting.

Revolving Loan Prioritization

- 1) RLP funds are funds repaid from previous loans. The money can only be used for loans. No grants may be made with the funds.
- 2) The interest rate for RLP Loans is established by the Executive committee at zero percent per year for the useful life of the improvement.
- 3) RLP Loans will be offered to projects based on the ranking of projects on the SCIP Slate. Consideration will be given to projects in order of score based on initial grant or grant/loan request. until the RLP funds are expended.

Evaluation Questionnaire and Priority Rating Sheet

- 1) Each application to District 5 shall be rated using the District 5 Capital Improvements Project Questionnaire and Priority Rating Sheet as adopted by the District 5 Executive Committee.
- 2) For Villages and Township with populations less than 5,000 special attention is called to the potential eligibility for Small Government Funding consideration. The scoring for the Small Government Program is established and implemented by the Ohio Public Works Commission. This program has an additional set of Evaluation Methodology. Each applicant should familiarize themselves with this methodology when planning your project funding request. If your project is not selected for District Funding each applicant under 5,000 in population will be considered for selection as a potential Small Government Project.

**DISTRICT 5
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS
QUESTIONNAIRE
ROUND 35**

Name of Applicant: _____

Project Title: _____

The following questions are to be answered for each application submitted for State Issue II SCIP, LTIP and Loan Projects. Please provide specific information using the best documentation available to you. Justification of your responses to these questions will be required if your project is selected for funding, so please provide correct and accurate responses. **Communities and Townships under 5,000 in population should also complete the Small Government Criteria.**

1. What percentage of the project in repair A= __%, replacement B= __%, expansion C= __%, and new D= __%? (Use dollar amounts of project to figure percentages and make sure the total equals one hundred(100) percent) A+B= __% C+D= __% **ORC Reference(s):164.06(B)(1); 164.14(E)(10)**

Repair/Replacement =Repair or Replacement of public facilities owned by the government (any subdivision of the state).

New/Expansion = Replacement of privately owned wells, septic systems, private water or wastewater systems, etc.

- 2a. Existing Physical Condition of Infrastructure **ORC Reference(s):164.06(B)(2);164.14(E)(9);164.14(E)(2); 164.14(E)(8)**

Points	Category	Description	Examples
10	Failing	Infrastructure has reached a point where it requires replacement, reconstruction or reconfiguration to fulfill its purpose	-Intersection Reconfiguration due to accident problem- Structural paving of 3.5" or greater of additional pavement - Pavement Widening to meet ODOT L&D Standards - Complete Pavement Reconstruction -Water or Sewer Line Replacement - Water or Sewer Plant Replacement - Widening graded shoulder width to ODOT L&D Standard -Complete Bridge or Culvert replacement
8	Poor	The condition is substandard and requires repair or restoration in order to return to the intended level of service and comply with current design standards. Infrastructure contains deficiency and is functioning at a diminished capacity.	-Multiple course of paving - Structural Culvert Lining - Bridge Deck Replacement - Replacement of a significant part of a water or sewer plant - Single course of paving with 25% base repair-Widening graded shoulder width to less than ODOT L&D Standard

6	Fading	The condition requires reconditioning to continue to function as originally intended.	-Single course of paving -Sewer Lining Projects -Water tower painting -Replacement of pumps, hydrants, valves, filters, etc in existing water and sewer systems-Widening aggregate berm on existing graded shoulder width
4	Fair	The condition is average, not good or poor. The infrastructure is still functioning as originally intended. Minor deficiencies exist requiring repair to continue to function as originally intended and/or to meet current design standards	
2	Good	The condition is safe and suitable to purpose. Infrastructure is functioning as originally intended, but requires minor repairs and/or upgrades to meet current design standards	
0	Excellent	The condition is new or requires no repair. Or, no supporting documentation has been submitted	

2b. Age of Infrastructure **ORC Reference(s):164.06(B)(2)**

Life	20	30	50
Project Type	Road	Wastewater and Water Treatment	Bridge/Culvert, Sanitary Sewer, Water Supply, Storm Water, Solid Waste
Points			
0	0-4 Years	0-6 Years	0-10 Years
1	5-8 Years	7-12 Years	11-20 Years
2	9-12 Years	13-18 Years	21-30 Years
3	13-16 Years	19-24 Years	31-40 Years
4	17-20 Years	25-30 Years	41-50 Years
5	20+ Years	30+ Years	50+ Years

3. Health and Safety Rating: **ORC Reference(s):164.06(B)(4),164.14(E)(1); 164.14(E)(10)**

If the proposed project is not approved what category would best represent the impact on the general health and/or public safety?

ROADS

Extremely Critical: Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction (4R) of a Major Access Road.*

Critical: Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation (3R) of a Major Access Road.*

Major:	Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction (4R) of a Minor Access Road.*
Moderate:	Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation (3R) of a Minor Access Road.*
Minimal:	Preventative Maintenance of a Major Access Road.
No Impact:	Preventative Maintenance of a Minor Access Road.

Projects that have a variety of work will be scored in the LOWEST category of work contained in the Construction Estimate.

Road/Street Classifications:

Major Access Road: Roads or streets that have a dual function of providing access to adjacent properties and providing through or connecting service between other roads.

Minor Access Road: Roads or streets that primarily provide access to adjacent properties without through continuity, such as cul-de-sacs or loop roads or streets.

Preventative Maintenance: Non Structural Pavement work such as chip sealing, cape sealing, micro-surfacing, crack sealing, etc.

*(3R) Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation - Improvements to existing roadways, which have as their main purpose, the restoration of the physical features (pavement, curb, guardrail, etc.) without altering the original design elements. **(Surface and Intermediate layer Mill and Fills, overlays with less than or equal to 3.5" of additional pavement, etc....)**

*(4R) Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction - Much like 3R, except that 4R allows for the complete reconstruction of the roadway and alteration of certain design elements (i.e., lane widths, shoulder width, SSD, **overlays with greater than 3.5" of additional pavement.** etc.).

BRIDGES SUFFICIENCY RATING

Extremely Critical:	0-25, or a General Appraisal rating of 3 or less.
Critical:	27-50, or a General Appraisal rating of 4.
Major:	51-65 or a General Appraisal rating of 5 or 6.
Moderate:	66-80 or a General Appraisal rating of 7.
Minimal:	81-100 or a General Appraisal rating of more than 7.
No Impact:	Bridge on a new roadway.

WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS

Extremely Critical: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order. Health Department Construction Ban.

Critical:	Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of NPDES Orders.
Major:	Replace deficient appurtenances. Update existing processes due to EPA recommendations.
Moderate:	Increase capacity to meet current needs or update processes to improve effluent quality.
Minimal:	New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER TREATMENT PLANT

Extremely Critical:	EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.
Critical:	Improvements to meet Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Safe Drinking Water Regulations and/or NPDES Orders.
Major:	Replace deficient appurtenances. Update existing processes due to EPA recommendations.
Moderate:	Increase capacity to meet current needs or update processes to improve water quality.
Minimal:	New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

COMBINED SEWER SEPARATIONS (May be construction of either new storm or sanitary sewer as long as the result is two separate sewer systems.)

Extremely Critical:	EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order. Health Department Construction Ban.
Critical:	Separate, due to chronic backup or flooding in basements.
Major:	Separate, due to documented water quality impairment, or due to EPA recommendations.
Moderate:	Separate, due to specific development proposal within or upstream of the combined system area.
Minimal:	Separate, to conform to current design standards.
No Impact:	No positive health effect.

STORM SEWERS

Extremely Critical:	EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.
Critical:	Chronic flooding (structure damage).
Major:	Inadequate capacity (land damage).
Moderate:	Inadequate capacity with no associated damage.
Minimal:	New/Expansion to meet current needs.
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or project needs.

CULVERTS

Extremely Critical:	Structurally deficient or functionally obsolete. Deterioration has already caused a safety Critical: hazard to the public.
Critical:	Inadequate capacity with land damage and the existing or high probability of property damage.
Major:	Inadequate capacity (land damage).
Moderate:	Inadequate capacity with no associated damage.
Minimal:	New/Expansion to meet current needs.
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

SANITARY SEWERS

Extremely Critical:	EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order. Health Department Construction Ban.
Critical:	Replace, due to chronic pipe failure, chronic backup or flooding in basements. Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of NPDES Orders.
Major:	Replace, due to inadequate capacity or infiltration, or due to EPA recommendations.
Moderate:	Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs or to reduce inflow and infiltration.
Minimal:	New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

SANITARY LIFT STATIONS AND FORCE MAINS

Extremely Critical:	Structurally deficient. Deterioration has already caused a safety/health hazard to the public, or, EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.
Critical:	Inadequate capacity with actual or a high probability of property damage. Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of NPDES Orders.
Major:	EPA recommendations, or, reduces a probable health and/or safety problem.
Moderate:	Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs.
Minimal:	New/Expansion to meet a specific development proposal.
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER PUMP STATIONS

Extremely Critical:	Structurally deficient. Deterioration has already caused a safety hazard to the public, or, EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.
Critical:	Inadequate capacity with the inability to maintain pressure required for fire flows.
Major:	Replace due to inadequate capacity or EPA recommendations.
Moderate:	Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs.
Minimal:	New/Expansion to meet a specific development proposal.
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER LINES/WATER TOWERS

Extremely Critical:	Solve low water pressure or excessive incidents of main breaks in project area.
Critical:	Replace, due to deficiency such as excessive corrosion, etc.
Major:	Replace undersized water lines as upgrading process.
Moderate:	Increase capacity to meet current needs.
Minimal:	New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

OTHER

Extremely Critical:	There is a present health and/or safety threat.
---------------------	---

Critical: The project will provide immediate health and/or safety benefit.

Major: The project will reduce a probable health and/or safety problem.

Moderate: The project will delay a health and/or safety problem.

Minimal: A possible future health and/or safety problem mitigation.

No Impact: No health and/or safety effect.

NOTE: Combined projects that can be rated in more than one subset may be rated in the other category at the discretion of the District 5 Executive Committee. In general, the majority of the cost or scope of the project shall determine the category under which the project will be scored.

(Submittals without supporting documentation will receive 0 Points for this question.)

Extremely Critical ____, Critical ____, Major ____, Moderate ____, Minimal ____, No Impact ____. Explain your answer.

(Additional narrative, charts and/or pictures should be attached to questionnaire)

4. Identify the amount of local funds that will be used on the project as a percentage of the total project cost. **ORC Reference 164.06(B)(6); ORC 164.06(B)(3)**

A.) Amount of Local Funds = \$ _____

B.) Total Project Cost = \$ _____

RATIO OF LOCAL FUNDS DIVIDED by TOTAL PROJECT COSTS (A ÷ B) = _____%

Note: Local funds should be considered funds derived from the applicant budget or loans funds to be paid back through local budget, assessments, rates or tax revenues collected by the applicant.

5. Identify the amount of other funding sources to be used on the project, excluding SCIP or LTIP Funds, as a percentage of the total project cost. **ORC Reference(s): 164.06(B)(7); 164.14(E)(4)**

Grants ____% Gifts ____, Contributions ____%

Other ____% (explain) _____, Total ____%

Note: Grant funds and other revenues not contributed or collected through taxes by the applicant should be considered other funds. The Scope of Work for each Funding Source must be the same.

6. Total Amount of SCIP and Loan Funding Requested- An Applicant can request a grant per the categories below for points as indicated on the Priority Rating Sheet. If the Applicant is including a loan request equal to, but not exceeding 50% of the OPWC funding amounts listed below, there will be no point penalty. If loan funds requested are more than 50%, points as listed in the Priority Rating Sheet will apply. **ORC Reference(s): 164.14(E)(10); 164.06(B)(5)**

_____	\$500,001 or More
_____	\$400,001-\$500,000
_____	\$325,001-\$400,000
_____	\$275,001-\$325,000
_____	\$175,001-\$275,000
_____	\$175,000 or Less

There are times when the District spends all of the grant money and has loan money remaining. When this happens, the district makes a loan offer in the amount of the requested grant to the communities that were not funded. The offers are made in the order of scoring. We need to know if you are not successful in obtaining grant dollars for your project if you would be interested in loan money:

YES _____ NO _____

(This will only be considered if you are not funded with grant money and there is remaining loan money.) **Please note: if you answer “no” you will not be contacted, only if you answer “yes” will an offer be made in the event that there is loan money remaining.**

7. If the proposed project is funded, will its completion directly result in the creation of permanent full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs (FTE jobs shall be defined as 35 hours/week) ? Yes ___ No ___. If yes, how many jobs within eighteen months? ___ Will the completed project retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently lost? Yes ___ No ___. If yes, how many jobs _____ **will be created/retrained** within 18 months **following the completion of the improvements?**

ORC Reference(s): 164.14(E)(3);164.14(E)(10)

(Supporting documentation in the form of letter from affected industrial or commercial enterprises that specify full time equivalent jobs that will be retained or created directly by the installation or improvement of Public infrastructure. Additional items such as; 1) newspaper articles or other media news accounts, 2) public meeting minutes, and/or 3) a letter from the County Economic Development Director or State of Ohio Economic Development Professional that alludes to the requirement for the infrastructure improvement to support the business. Submittals without supporting documentation will receive 0 points for this question.)

8. What is the total number of existing users that will directly benefit from the proposed project if completed? _____ (Use households served, traffic counts, etc. and explain the basis by which you arrived at your number.) **ORC Reference 164.14(E)(7); 164.06(B)(10)**

9. Economic Distress Criteria **ORC Reference 164.06(B)(8)**

What is the Local Median Household Income as a percentage of the District Median Household Income? _____ %. Please utilize the Economic Distress Scoring Criteria based on ACS 2013-2017 Data provided in Exhibit A.

10. Readiness to Proceed Criteria **ORC Reference 164.06(B)(9); ORC 164.14(E)(5)**

Please categorize the status of planning and design elements for the project.

_____ Plans have not begun yet (0 Points)

_____ Preliminary Engineering Complete (1 Point)

_____ Final Design Complete (2 Points)

11. Base Score Total for Questions 1-10= _____

12. County Subcommittee Priority Points= _____

(25-20-15 Points for each of the SCIP and LTIP Project Categories)

13. **DISCRETIONARY POINTS (BY DISTRICT COMMITTEE ONLY)**

13a. A **District Discretionary Point** may be awarded to projects that demonstrate significant Area-wide, County, or Community Impact. (Include documentation to support the claim of significance) (Maximum of 1 Point at the discretion of the District Executive Committee) _____

ORC Reference 164.14(E)(7)

13b. A **District Discretionary Point** may be awarded to projects that demonstrate that the entity has maximized local financial resources including assessments. Provide a Fund Status Report and/or the water and sanitary waste utility rate structures are at least 2.5% of area median household income for combined systems and 1.5% of the area median household income for water and sanitary only systems. Please provide rate ordinances for water and sanitary sewer to be considered for discretionary points. (Maximum of 1 Point at the discretion of the District 5 Executive Committee) _____ **ORC Reference 164.06(B)(3)**

14. **Grand Total of Points** _____

15. Is subdivision's population less than 5,000 Yes No ____ If yes, continue. You may want to design your project per Small Government Project Evaluation Criteria, released for the current OPWC Round to assist in evaluating your project for potential Small Government Funding. The Small Government Criteria is available on the OPWC website at

<https://www.pwc.ohio.gov/Portals/0/Data/SmallGovernment%20Round%2035%20Methodology.pdf?ver=2019-08-07-071749-143>

16. **OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION SMALL GOVERNMENT PROGRAM GUIDELINES**

All projects that are sponsored by a subdivision with a population of 5,000 or less, and not earning enough points for District Funding from SCIP or LTIP Funds, are then rated using the Small

Government Program Rating Criteria for the corresponding funding round. In order to be rated the entity must submit the Small Government Supplement and their required budgets with their application.

Only infrastructure that is village- or township- owned is eligible for assistance. The following policies have been adopted by the Small Government Commission:

- District Integrating Committees may submit up to seven (7) applications for consideration by the Commission. All 7 must be ranked, however, only the top five (5) will be scored. The remaining two (2) will be held as contingency projects should an application be withdrawn.
- Grants are limited to \$500,000. Any assistance above that amount must be in the form of a loan.
- Grants for new or expanded infrastructure cannot exceed 50% of the project estimate.
- The Commission may deny funding for water and sewer systems that are deemed to be more cost-effective if regionalized.
- If a water or sewer project is determined to be affordable, the project will be offered a loan rather than a grant. Pay special attention to the **Water & Wastewater Affordability Supplemental and the Small Government Water & Wastewater Affordability Calculation Worksheet. Both are available on the Small Government Program Tab at <https://www.pwc.ohio.gov/Programs/Infrastructure-Programs/Small-Government>**
- Should there be more projects that meet the “annual score” than there is funding, the tie breaker is those projects which scored highest under Health & Safety, with the second tie breaker being Condition. If multiple projects have equivalent Health & Safety and Condition scores they are arranged according to the amount of assistance from low to high. Once the funded projects are announced, “contingency projects” may be funded from project under-runs by continuing down the approved project list.
- Supplemental assistance is not provided to projects previously funded by the Commission.
- Applicants have 30 days from receipt of application by OPWC without exception to provide additional documentation to make the application more competitive under the Small Government criteria. Applications will be scored after the 30-day period has expired. The applicants for each District's two (2) contingency projects will have the same 30-day period to submit supplemental information but these applications will not be scored unless necessary to do so. **It is each applicant's responsibility for determining the need for supplemental material. The applicant will not be asked for or notified of missing information unless the Commission has changed the project type and it affects the documentation required. Important information may include, but is not limited to: age of infrastructure, traffic counts or utility users, median income information, user rates ordinances, and the Auditor's Certificate of Estimated Revenues or documentation from the Auditor of State that subdivision is in a state of fiscal emergency.**

If you desire to have your Round 35 project considered for Small Government Funding please download the Small Government Evaluation Criteria applicable to Round 35 by accessing the OPWC Website at

<https://www.pwc.ohio.gov/Portals/0/Data/SmallGovernment%20Round%2035%20Methodology.pdf?ver=2019-08-07-071749-143>

Please complete the Small Government Evaluation Criteria and attach all required supporting documentation and attach it to the District 5 Questionnaire for Round 35.

Date: _____

Signature: _____

Title: _____

Address: _____

Phone: _____

FAX: _____

Email: _____