

DISTRICT 16 -PROJECT EVALUATION CRITERIA

Subdivision			
County			
Project			
PROJECT RATING FACTORS			
A. REPAIR & REPLACEMENT 164.06 B(1) 164.06 B(9) Award points corresponding to the portion of the project that is repair and replacement, as presented in item 3.0 of the Ohio Public Works Commission (OPWC) Application Form. <i>(Private septic system replacement by a community system may be considered repair and replacement with proper documentation of the official need for the project from the EPA or a Health Department)</i>	% Repair & Replacement	Points to Award	Factor A Points: <i>(10 points maximum)</i> <div style="text-align: center; width: 50px; height: 50px; border: 1px solid black; margin: 0 auto;"></div>
	100%	10 points	
	90%	9 points	
	80%	8 points	
	70%	7 points	
	60%	6 points	
	50%	5 points	
	40%	4 points	
	30%	3 points	
	20%	2 points	
10%	1 points		
0%	0 points		
B. OVERALL NEED AND ECONOMIC BENEFIT OF THE DISTRICT 164.06 B(1), 164.14 E(7) 164.14 E(3) B-1: Does the project involve actual physical work in more than one political subdivision and provide benefits to more than one political subdivision?	Yes	1 Point	Factor B-1 Points: <i>(1 point maximum)</i> <div style="text-align: center; width: 50px; height: 50px; border: 1px solid black; margin: 0 auto;"></div>
	No	0 Points	
B-2 Does this project directly benefit job creation or preservation <i>(Must submit letter from Company)</i>	Yes	1 Point	Factor B-2 Points: <i>(1 point maximum)</i> <div style="text-align: center; width: 50px; height: 50px; border: 1px solid black; margin: 0 auto;"></div>
	No	0 Points	
C. AGE & CONDITION 164.06 B(2) 164.14E(9) C-1: AGE OF INFRASTRUCTURE: Determine a point score by dividing the age of the existing infrastructure involved in this project by the typical service life as presented in the Life Expectancy Standards Chart.	$\text{Age} \div \text{Service Life} = \text{Value}$	= Value	Factor C-1 Points: <i>(5 points maximum)</i> <div style="text-align: center; width: 50px; height: 50px; border: 1px solid black; margin: 0 auto;"></div>
	Greater than 1.50,	5 Points	
	Between 1.25 and 1.49,	4 Points	
	Between 1.00 and 1.24,	3 Points	
	Between 0.75 and 0.99,	2 Points	
	Between 0.50 and 0.74,	1 Points	
	Less than 0.50	0 Points	
Service Life- Life Expectancy Standards - Life Expectancy Standards are for evaluating present infrastructure. The useful life of project is decided by project engineer, and need not match this chart. For example, a new bridge could have a 75 year useful life.			
50 Yrs	Waterline, water storage, sanitary sewer lines, stormwater, bridge, culvert		
30 yrs	Water treatment & wastewater treatment		
20 yrs	Road construction & reconstruction		
8 yrs	Road resurfacing		
C-2: REMAINING USEFUL LIFE: For repair & replacement projects evaluate the remaining useful life, or the district significance for new and expansion projects (use whichever is applicable) use life expectancy standards presented above.	FOR REPAIR/REPLACEMENT PROJECT		Factor C-2 Points: <i>(5 points maximum)</i> <div style="text-align: center; width: 50px; height: 50px; border: 1px solid black; margin: 0 auto;"></div>
	5	Not in Service	
	4	Beyond Useful Life Declining Rapidly	
	3	At/near Useful Life & Declining Rapidly	
	2	Not near Useful Life & Functioning Poorly	
	1	Not near Useful Life & Functioning	
	FOR NEW/EXPANSION PROJECTS		
	5	District Wide Significance or Need	
	4	Multi-jurisdictional Significance or Need	
	3	Applicant Significance or Need	
2	Neighborhood Significance or Need		
1	No Real Significance or Need		
D. HEALTH AND SAFETY 164.06 B(4) 164.14 E(1)(2) Road \Bridge – Does this project address documented accident history (5 year) or would alleviate serious traffic problem or respond to needs caused by growth <i>(must submit traffic study)</i> Water\Sewer – Does this project address documented EPA corrective actions or County BOH Nuisance Complaints. <i>(submit documentation)</i>	Yes	1 point	Factor D Points: <i>(1 point maximum)</i> <div style="text-align: center; width: 50px; height: 50px; border: 1px solid black; margin: 0 auto;"></div>
	No	0 points	
E. COST OF THE PROJECT 164.06 B(5), 164.06 B(6) E-1: Award points for the portion of the total OPWC assistance that the project is requesting in the form of	100% of OPWC as a Loan	5.0	Factor E-1 Points: <i>(5 points maximum)</i>
	90% of OPWC as a Loan	4.5	
	50% of OPWC as a Loan	2.5	
	30% of OPWC as a Loan	1.5	

DISTRICT 16 -PROJECT EVALUATION CRITERIA

loan/credit assistance. <i>(For example, if the project is requesting a total of \$100,000 in OPWC funding, of which \$50,000 is as a loan and \$50,000 is as a grant, then 50% of the OPWC funding is for a loan, and 2.5 points can be awarded.)</i>	20% of OPWC as a Loan 10% of OPWC as a Loan 0% of OPWC as a Loan	1.0 0.5 0.0	<input style="width: 40px; height: 40px; border: 1px solid black;" type="text"/>
E-2: Award points according to the amount of OPWC funding requested for this project.	More than \$500,000 \$500,000 or less	0 points 10 points	Factor E-2 Points: <i>(10 points maximum)</i> <input style="width: 40px; height: 40px; border: 1px solid black;" type="text"/>
<u>F. THE EFFORT AND ABILITY AND REVENUE GENERATING CAPACITY OF LOCAL SUBDIVISION TO ASSIST IN FINANCING PROJECT</u> 164.06 B(6)(7) 164.14 E(4) Award points for the amount of non-OPWC grant contributed to total project cost (<i>fractions permitted</i>). This may be OPWC loan, or federal, state, local funds. <i>(A 100% Loan Project will receive 20 points A Project with 50% loan and 50% Grant will ONLY use the grant portion)</i>	Non OPWC Grants ÷ Total Project Cost = points 100% 90% 80% 70% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10%	Points 20 18 16 14 12 10 8 6 4 2	Factor F Points: <i>(20 points maximum)</i> <input style="width: 40px; height: 40px; border: 1px solid black;" type="text"/>
<u>G. ADEQUACY OF PLANNING AND READINESS</u> 164.06 B (9),164.14 E(5) TO PROCEED – From the date of the Grant Agreement, the project will be: <i>(Engineer’s estimate at time of application) Revised 6/19/09</i>	To Bid Within 12 Months Bidding not anticipated within 12 months of award:	5 points 0 Points	Factor G Points: <i>(5 points maximum)</i> <input style="width: 40px; height: 40px; border: 1px solid black;" type="text"/>
<u>H. ECONOMIC HEALTH OF THE COMMUNITY</u> 164.06 B(8) 164.06 B(3) H-1: Median Household Income compared to State Average <i>(From Fact Finder)</i> H-2: Will completion of this project result in new user fees or assessments for the applicant?	80% or below 80% above to 120% 120% above Yes No	5 points 3 points 0 points 1 points 0 points	Factor H-1 Points: <i>(5 points maximum)</i> <input style="width: 40px; height: 40px; border: 1px solid black;" type="text"/> Factor H-2 Points: <i>(1 points maximum)</i> <input style="width: 40px; height: 40px; border: 1px solid black;" type="text"/>
Total Non-Discretionary Points <i>(69 points maximum)</i>			<input style="width: 40px; height: 40px; border: 1px solid black;" type="text"/>
<u>DISTRICT DISCRETIONARY FACTORS</u> I. OTHER FACTORS The District may award points for any other factor relevant to a particular project.	The applicant and/or the county committee may suggest other factors for a project. The final decision will be made by the District Integrating Committee. <u>The following are examples of other factors associated with infrastructure projects.</u>		
County priority - Weighted	ADT/ or number of utility customers	Weighted useful life	
Subdivision or parent county’s participation in loan/loan assistance	Timely OPWC project implementation, completion & closeout history	Underground utilities experiencing numerous breaks	
Evidence of federal/state grants to the project and OPWC dollar last in	Applicant is under legal obligations to complete project	Track record of funding for subdivision or parent county	
Affordability of sewer & water rates	Engineering affordability		
Any other factor		Factor I Points: <i>(15 points maximum)</i>	<input style="width: 40px; height: 40px; border: 1px solid black;" type="text"/>
Completed By:	Date:	GRAND TOTAL: <input style="width: 40px; height: 40px; border: 1px solid black;" type="text"/>	