

**DISTRICT EIGHTEEN
PUBLIC WORKS INTEGRATING COMMITTEE**

**FISCAL YEAR 2023 (ROUND 36)
EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROJECT WEIGHING FACTORS**

| <u>Evaluation Criteria</u> | <u>Total Points*</u> |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|
| 1. Needs of the District | 75 |
| 2. Committee Discretionary Points | 135 |
| 3. Infrastructure Age/Useful Life | 25 |
| 4. Health and Safety Needs | 75 |
| 5. Readiness to Proceed | 75 |
| 6. Financial Factors | 50 |
| 7. Other Project Factors | <u>150</u> |
| TOTAL | <u>585</u> |

**** 1 New Revised Item ****
Factor 3 B - Revised

**POINTS AWARDED FOR COOPERATIVE PROJECTS MAY BE PRORATED
BASED ON THE PERCENTAGE OF PARTICIPATION OF EACH
SUBDIVISION.**

**COOPERATIVE PROJECTS MUST HAVE A SEPARATE SUPPLMENTAL
SHEET ATTACHED FOR EACH SUBDIVISION PARTICIPATING.**

APPLICATION SUBMISSION – APPLICATIONS DUE AUGUST 31, 2021:
OPWC PORTAL - WORKWISE
(1) ORIGINAL – HARD COPY

FACTOR 1: NEEDS OF THE DISTRICT (Maximum 75 points) (A & B)

ORC164.06(B)(1) and ORC 164.14(E)(7)

A. Road and Bridge Projects (Maximum 25 points)

| | |
|----------------------------------|-----------|
| Arterial Street | 25 points |
| Collector Street | 20 points |
| Subcollector Street | 15 points |
| Local Street | 10 points |
| Minor/Cul-de-sac/Dead-end Street | 5 points |

NOTE: Definitions in Appendix A.

Water, Sewer, or Other Projects (Maximum 25 points)

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Regional Impact (More than one County) | 25 points |
| Affects Multiple Communities or Townships | 20 points |
| Local Area (City or Village) | 15 points |
| Local Area (Subdivision*) | 10 points |
| Local Problem (Portion of a Subdivision) | 5 points |

* "Subdivision" refers to lots or parcels.

B. Subdivision's priority (Maximum 50 Points) **Provide your priority on page 1 of the application after "Project Name".**

| | |
|------------|-----------|
| Priority 1 | 50 points |
| Priority 2 | 40 points |
| Priority 3 | 30 points |
| Priority 4 | 20 points |
| Priority 5 | 10 points |

FACTOR 2: COMMITTEE POINTS (Maximum 135 points)

ORC 164.06(B)(8) and ORC 164.14(E)(3), ORC 164.14(E)(6)

A. Executive Committee (Maximum 60 points) 60 points

Upon evaluation of the project, these points may be allocated by the Executive Committee based on Appendix B of these Evaluation Criteria.

B. County Subcommittee (Maximum 75 points)

| | |
|------------|-----------|
| Priority 1 | 75 points |
| Priority 2 | 65 points |
| Priority 3 | 55 points |
| Priority 4 | 45 points |

FACTOR 3: INFRASTRUCTURE AGE/USEFUL LIFE (Max. 25 points) (A,B, OR C)
Photos must be included, otherwise ZERO (0) points will be awarded.

ORC 164.06(B)(2) and ORC 164.14(E)(6)

A. Water, Storm Water, and Sanitary Sewer Projects: Remaining Useful Life LEFT of Existing Infrastructure

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Out-of-Service (Out of Service Supplemental sheet required) | 25 points |
| 1 to 3 years | 20 points |
| 4 to 6 years | 15 points |
| 7 to 9 years | 10 points |
| 10 to 12 years | 5 points |
| New | 0 points |

B. Bridges: Remaining Useful Life

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Closed OR Restricted to 25% or less of legal load | 25 points |
| If closed = Closed Supplemental sheet required | |
| Restricted to 50% or less of legal load | 20 points |
| 1 to 2 years | 15 points |
| 3 to 5 years | 10 points |
| 6 to 10 years | 5 points |

C. Roads: Age of Existing Infrastructure

(Age is counted from time of last hard surface, asphalt, or concrete).

| | |
|--------------------|-----------|
| More than 20 years | 25 points |
| 16 to 20 years | 20 points |
| 11 to 15 years | 15 points |
| 7 to 10 years | 10 points |

FACTOR 4: HEALTH AND SAFETY NEEDS (Maximum 75 points) (A,B, or C)
 ORC 164.06(B)(4) and ORC 164.14(E)(1), ORC 164.14(E)(2), ORC 164.14(E)(6), ORC 164.14(E)(9)

A. Bridge Projects (Maximum 75 points)

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Sufficiency Rating 0 to 30 or General Appraisal Rating of 3 or Less | 75 points |
| Sufficiency Rating 31 to 40 or General Appraisal Rating of 4 | 60 points |
| Sufficiency Rating 41 to 50 or General Appraisal Rating of 5 | 45 points |
| Sufficiency Rating 51 to 60 or General Appraisal Rating of 6 | 30 points |

B. Roadway Projects (Maximum 75 points) (1 or 2)

1. Street Rideability Rating

-Hazard to the health and safety of the traveling public impeding all traffic. 75 points
(Example would be severe rutting of over 50% of the riding surface, causing traffic to leave its normal travel lane to avoid deep ruts and/or pot holes, creating a high hazard to the health and safety of the motorist.)

-Restrictions to necessary types of traffic such as ambulances, fire trucks, school buses, etc. 60 points
(Examples would be moderate rutting of 26% to 50% of the riding surface causing traffic to lower speed to less than 50% of the legal speed limit to provide an acceptable and safe ride, which would restrict response time to an emergency.)

-Visible safety hazards 45 points
(Examples are alligator cracking, corrugations, and/or transverse and longitudinal cracking of over 50% of the riding surface.)

2. Documented number of traffic accidents in previous 12-month period
(If Guardrails are the majority of the project, the health and safety of the project will be rated using this criteria. Accidents must be within the project limits. Accidents caused by animals or alcohol related accidents will not be counted.)

| | |
|-------------|-----------|
| More than 9 | 75 points |
| 7 to 8 | 60 points |
| 5 to 6 | 45 points |
| 3 to 4 | 30 points |
| 1 to 2 | 15 points |

C. Water, Sewer, or Other Projects (Maximum 75 points, no "pyramiding")

Supporting Certified Documentation for water and/or sewer projects necessary. Storm water projects will be rated on a case-by-case basis by the Executive Committee. Applicant must attach documentation verifying the health and safety need.

| | |
|---|-----------|
| OEPA has issued one, or more, of the following Findings, Orders, Recommendations or Standards | 75 points |
| OEPA has documented requirements for continued operation | 60 points |
| Local Health Board has determined hazardous health & safety problem | 45 points |

FACTOR 5: READINESS TO PROCEED (Maximum 75 points) (A,B, or C)

ORC 164.06(B)(9) and ORC 164.14(E)(5)

A. Locally-Funded Road, Bridge, and Other Projects: Period from when project approval is granted and construction will begin.

| | |
|--------------------|-----------|
| Less than 3 months | 75 points |
| 3 to 6 months | 60 points |
| 7 to 9 months | 45 points |
| 10 to 12 months | 30 points |

B. State and Federally-Funded Road, Bridge, and Other Projects

| | |
|---------------------------------|-----------|
| Project sale date set | 75 points |
| F. & O.C. has been held | 60 points |
| Drainage review held | 45 points |
| Line grade and typical approved | 30 points |
| Project is programmed | 15 points |

C. Water and Sewer Projects (All dates are calculated based on application deadline)

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Detail Plans have been submitted to EPA more than 270 days, <u>or</u> Plans have been approved (approval letter attached to application) | 75 points |
| Detail Plans have been submitted to EPA 180 – 269 days | 60 points |
| Detail Plans have been submitted to EPA 90 – 179 days | 45 points |
| Detail Plans have been submitted to EPA 1 – 89 days | 30 points |
| General Plans have been submitted to EPA (if required) | 15 points |
| Preliminary estimates are complete | 10 points |

FACTOR 6: FINANCIAL FACTORS (Maximum 50 points)

ORC 164.06(B)(3)(5)(6)(7) and ORC 164.14(E)(4)

Use of Non-Issue 2 Funds (Maximum 50 points) (1 and 2)

1. Local resources (in-kind or cash, but **not grants or loans**) as a percentage of the total project cost.

| | |
|------------|-----------|
| Over 25% | 30 points |
| 21% to 25% | 20 points |
| 16% to 20% | 10 points |
| 11% to 15% | 5 points |
| 0% to 10% | 0 points |

- 2. Other leveraged funding** as a percentage of the total project cost (**includes EDA, FAS, FmHA, FHWA, ARC, CDBG, etc.**) Applicant must provide documentation that funds are committed by funding agency and available. **OWDA letters will no longer be accepted as “committed” funds.**

| | |
|--------------|-----------|
| 50% and over | 20 points |
| 40% to 49% | 15 points |
| 30% to 39% | 10 points |
| 20% to 29% | 5 points |
| 0% to 19% | 0 points |

- 3. Generation of Revenue (Project specific user fees or assessments)**

| | |
|---------------------------------|-----------|
| No new user fees or assessments | 10 points |
| New user fees or assessments | 0 points |

FACTOR 7: OTHER PROJECT FACTORS (*Maximum 150 points*) (A, B & C)
 ORC 164.06(B)(5), ORC 164.06(B)(10) and ORC 164.14(E)(6), ORC 164.14(E)(10)

- A. Integrating Committee Priority** (*Maximum 50 points*)

| | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| Roads & Streets | 50 points |
| Bridges and Culverts | 45 points |
| Water Supply and Treatment | 40 points |
| Storm Water Drainage | 35 points |
| Sanitary Collection and Treatment | 30 points |
| Solid Waste Facilities | 25 points |

- B. Amount of Loan and/or Loan/Credit Enhancement (Percentage of requested amount of Issue II funds)** (*Maximum 100 points*)

| | |
|------------|------------|
| 100% | 100 points |
| 91% to 99% | 50 points |
| 81% to 90% | 40 points |
| 71% to 80% | 30 points |
| 51% to 70% | 20 points |
| 25% to 50% | 10 points |

C. Amount of OPWC Funds Requested *(Maximum 50 points)*

1. Amount of Grant OR Loan Requested

More than \$400,000 0 points

Less than or equal to \$400,000 50 points

2. Amount of Grant AND Loan Combined Requested *(Cannot request over \$400,000 for either grant or loan to receive the total 50 points)*

More than \$800,000 0 points

Less than or equal to \$800,000 50 points

APPENDIX A FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION

The functional classification of streets shall be as follows:

1. **Arterial:** A major street in the applicant's street system that serves as an avenue for the circulation of traffic into, out, or around the municipality/township/county and carries heavy loads and high volumes of traffic.
2. **Collector:** A street whose principal function is to carry traffic between minor, local, and subcollector streets and arterial street but that may also provide direct access to abutting properties. It serves or is designed to serve, directly or indirectly, more than 100 dwelling units and is designed to be used or is used to carry more than 800 trips per day.
3. **Subcollector:** A street whose principal function is to provide access to abutting properties but is also designed to be used or is used to connect minor and local streets with collector or arterial streets. Including residences indirectly served through connecting streets, it serves or is designed to serve at least 26, but not more than 100, dwelling units and is expected to or does handle between 200 and 800 trips per day.
4. **Local:** A street whose sole function is to provide access to abutting properties. It serves or is designed to serve at least 10, but no more than 25, dwelling units and is expected to or does handle between 75 and 200 trips per day.
5. **Minor:** A street whose sole function is to provide access to abutting properties. It serves or is designed to serve not more than nine dwelling units and is expected to or does handle up to 75 trips per day.
6. **Cul-de-sac:** A street that terminates in a vehicular turnaround.

APPENDIX B OTHER RELEVANT FACTORS

1. Additional consideration may be given to applicants requesting a smaller amount of OPWC grant dollars.
2. Additional consideration may be given to projects based upon the amount of OPWC grant requested in proportion to the area or number of governmental entities served.
3. Additional consideration may be given to projects based upon the amount of OPWC grant requested in proportion to the number of users.
4. Additional consideration may be given to projects based upon a higher percentage of local participation.
5. Additional consideration may be given to repair or replacement projects.
6. Additional consideration may be given for the applicant's willingness to accept an OPWC loan for a portion of the OPWC grant amount requested at the Executive Committee Meeting.
7. Additional consideration may be given to projects ranked higher by the County Sub-Committee.
8. Additional consideration may be given to projects that affords specific and significant economic development opportunities.